

REMARKS

In accordance with the foregoing, FIG. 6B has been amended to include reference to Notes 6), 7), 8), and 9). Proper support for such amendments may be found in at least paragraphs [0076] through [0079].

In accordance with the foregoing, claims 1, 4 and 9 have been amended, claims 2 and 11 have been canceled without prejudice or disclaimer, and claims 1 and 3 through 10 are pending and under consideration. Claim 1 has been amended to include at least some features of claim 2; and, claims 4 and 9 have been amended to include at least similar features. No new matter is presented in this Amendment.

DOUBLE PATENTING

Claims 1 and 3 through 10 are provisionally rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-13 of copending Application No. 10/647,440.

Claims 1 and 3 through 10 are provisionally rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-11 of copending Application No. 10/647,445.

The applicant has filed a terminal disclaimer to overcome the provisional rejections.

REJECTIONS UNDER 35 U.S.C. §102

Claims 1 and 3 through 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. §102(e) as being anticipated by Lamkin et al. (U.S. Patent 7,178,106 B2). The applicant respectfully traverses.

Lamkin discloses an enhanced DVD application program interface (API) to allow DVD authors to integrate content from the Internet (col. 4, lines 60-65.) The user interacts with the content, and instructions are transmitted to various components of the DVD apparatus, via the API (col. 12, lines 57-62.)

In contrast, claim 1 recites, inter alia, that the informing of the ENAV engine of the occurrence of the key input event comprises creating the key input event using first event information recorded in the markup document. As such, Lamkin does not disclose all the

features of claim 1 as amended. Specifically, Lamkin fails to disclose that the first event information is recorded in the markup document. Accordingly, Lamkin does not disclose all the elements of claim 1 and the rejection should be withdrawn.

Claims 3 through 6 depend from claim 1 and are deemed patentable for at least the reasons given above with respect to claim 1.

Claims 7 through 10, as amended, each contain features similar to claim 1. Accordingly, claims 7 through 10 are deemed patentable for the reasons given above with respect to claim 1.

CONCLUSION

There being no further outstanding objections or rejections, it is submitted that the application is in condition for allowance. An early action to that effect is courteously solicited.

Finally, if there are any formal matters remaining after this response, the Examiner is requested to telephone the undersigned to attend to these matters.

If there are any additional fees associated with filing of this Amendment, please charge the same to our Deposit Account No. 503333.

Respectfully submitted,

STEIN, MCEWEN & BUI, LLP

Date: _____

8/20/07

By: _____



Michael D. Stein
Registration No. 37,240

1400 Eye St., NW
Suite 300
Washington, D.C. 20005
Telephone: (202) 216-9505
Facsimile: (202) 216-9510